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**Disc Degeneration:**

Spondylosis (Spondylosis Deformans) is a general term used for age-related changes to the disc. This includes disc desiccation, bulging and marginal osteophyte.

Disc degeneration (Intervertebral osteochondrosis) (Resnick) is characterized by disorganization and dessication of the nucleus pulposis and by disc space narrowing. With loss of disc space height there is annular bulging/laxity and mechanical failure of the disc (Herzog). It generally represents the sequel of disc injury and may be symptomatic or asymptomatic (Fardon, Herzog).

We use disc space narrowing as our primary parameter to grade disc degeneration:

- **Mild** - Desiccation with < 25% disc space narrowing
- **Moderate** - Desiccation with 25-75% disc space narrowing
- **Severe** - Desiccation with > 75% disc space narrowing

Some radiologists will use moderately severe for 75-90% disc space narrowing and severe for complete collapse.

While disc desiccation is also a feature of disc degeneration, it is difficult to use this parameter for grading. First, disc desiccation can be seen with normal aging. Second, the signal intensity of a degenerated disc can vary with MRI field strength and pulse sequence selection. Finally, this parameter does not facilitate comparison with CT findings.
Discogenic marrow edema (Modic type 1 signal changes), when moderate or marked, may indicate symptomatic disc degeneration. (Yue-Hui) Moderate or marked endplate sclerosis on CT, often seen with discogenic marrow edema on MRI, presumably has the same prognostic and diagnostic significance as Modic 1 signal changes. Discogenic back pain is typically mechanical and exacerbated by prolonged sitting.

Discogenic marrow edema can also be seen with spondylodiscitis and with inflammatory discopathy. Spondylodiscitis will also show poorly demarcated endplate erosions, high signal intensity within the nucleus pulposis and paraspinal soft tissue swelling or abscess. Inflammatory discopathy is often associated with high signal intensity corners or inflammatory lateral lesions at other levels and with sacroiliitis.

**Facet Degeneration:**

In routine clinical practice, the degree of facet degeneration is most commonly graded relative to the degree of facet hypertrophy:

- **Mild** - Mild facet hypertrophy with or without mild joint space narrowing
- **Moderate** - Joint space narrowing with moderate facet hypertrophy
Marked - Joint space narrowing with marked facet hypertrophy, marked irregularity of subchondral bone and/or marked facet joint derangement

Facet hypertrophy may contribute directly to the degree of subarticular and foraminal stenosis.

Inflammatory facet arthropathy is characterized by subchondral marrow edema and periarticular edema on STIR or fat saturation sequences. Moderate or marked subchondral marrow edema is associated with symptomatic facet arthropathy. If the patient has tenderness over the facet joint and has pain exacerbated by hyperextension, consideration might be given to a facet joint injection in these patients. Inflammatory facet arthropathy can occur with degenerative arthritis or with inflammatory spondyloarthropathy.

As with erosive osteoarthritis of the hands, inflammatory degenerative facet arthropathy is seen in older patients and is more common in female patients. Facet autofusion is often seen at an adjacent level, presumably representing the sequela of a previous inflammatory episode and possibly foretelling the natural history of the disease. Facet inflammation with spondyloarthropathy is typically seen with sacroiliitis, high signal corners, inflammatory discopathy or lateral inflammatory lesions at additional levels.

Cystic facet arthropathy is characterized by extensive subchondral cyst formation and may also indicate symptomatic facet arthropathy.

Erosive facet arthropathy is characterized by irregularity of subchondral bone and by widening of the facet joint space. Facet joint diastasis when moderate or marked indicates segmental hypermobility – usually in direct proportion to the degree of widening – and can be associated with dynamic stenosis. Within the lumbar spine, this is most common at L4-5 and is more common in female patients. Facet joint diastasis in association with retrolisthesis does not indicate erosive disease.

Facet synovial cysts are frequently associated with facet degeneration. The presence, size, location of a synovial cyst should be routinely reported. When synovial cysts project into the subarticular recesses or neural foramina, they can result in compressive radiculopathy, and neural compression should be highlighted if present. Synovial cysts associated with erosive changes and facet diastasis can enlarge with axial loading and result in standing intolerance. This possibility may need to be mentioned in the conclusion, as providers may not be aware of this phenomenon.

**High Signal Intensity Annular Fissures:**

High signal intensity fissures are characterized by the presence of linear areas of high signal intensity within the peripheral disc annulus on T2 FSE images. High signal intensity within the fissure presumably reflects the ingrowth of angiogenic fibrosis. They should be noted on MRI lumbar spine reports where they do show some association with discogenic pain. High signal intensity annular fissures show a strong correlation with positive discography in patients with discogenic back pain. (Schellhas)

**Disc Herniation:**

A disc herniation is defined as a focal displacement of disc material beyond the normal margins
of the intervertebral disc space resulting in a focal contour abnormality. (Fardon, Herzog, Kreiner, Milette, Blaser, Dayo) The displaced disc material may contain nuclear, endplate and/or annular fragments. Displacement of disc material most commonly occurs through a tear or fissure in the disc annulus. It can also occur through a defect in the endplate apophysis (with peripheral Schmorl node or posterior limbus-type deformities) or through an avulsion of the endplate apophysis in juvenile or adolescent patients.

In the radiologic literature, the definition of a disc herniation has often been framed by morphologic characteristics discernable on available imaging exams. Early radiologic literature defined a herniation as a focal bulge of the disc annulus (double density sign) as this represented the criteria for diagnosis on myelography. Subsequent definitions have been framed in terms of CT findings. (Fardon, Costello)

Interventional radiologists have defined a disc herniation by the presence or absence of radicular symptoms (Herkowitz). While acute radiculopathy with a positive straight leg raising sign has significant positive predictive value for a disc herniation, it does not define the underlying pathologic entity, and it is not entirely specific.

Disc protrusion and extrusions are subtypes of herniations. The subtype of herniation, if apparent, should be classified according to the criteria below. (Masaryk, Herzog) If the subtype of the herniation is not apparent, the general term herniation can be used. Fardon et al. states that if the subtype is not apparent, “by reasons of simplicity and common usage, herniated disc is the best general term to use.” (Fardon) In some markets the term herniation is not used because of legal and compensation ramifications.

**Protrusion/contained disc herniation.** A disc protrusion is a herniation in which the displaced disc material is confined by the outermost annular fibers. (Figure 2)

Some authors will use the posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL) as a measure of the outer confines of the disc. This concept has most likely arisen because in the midline the PLL is invested in the outer annular fibers and at surgery it can be difficult to distinguish the two. The PLL is markedly thinned on the posterolateral margins of the disc and is absent on the lateral/far lateral margins of the disc and the location of a herniated disc fragment relative to the PLL would have no meaning in these cases. In addition, subligamentous extrusions can extend well beyond the cephalad or caudal margins of the disc without breeching the PLL.

Fardon et al. in a 2014 consensus statement from the North American Spine Society, American Society of Neuroradiology and American Society of Spine Radiology, defined a protrusion as focal displacement of disc material beyond the disc space, in continuity with the disc, where diameter of the base of the deformity in continuity with the disc is greater than the diameter of the displaced disc material. While these parameters may have some predictive value on CT and MRI they do not represent an underlying anatomic or pathologic definition.

**Extrusion/extruded disc herniation.** An extrusion is a herniation in which the displaced disc material extends beyond the outermost annular fibers and remains in contact with the parent disc. (Blaser, Herzog, Masaryk) (Figure 2) Disc herniations that dissect cephalad or caudal to the disc space are by definition extruded as they are extending beyond the margins of the annulus.
Fardon et al. states that an extrusion is a disc contour abnormality where the diameter of the displaced disc material is larger than the segment of disc maintaining continuity with the parent disc. These parameters may have some predictive value on CT or MRI, however, do not represent an underlying anatomic or pathologic definition.

**Sequestration/sequestered disc fragment.** If an extruded disc fragment separates and loses contact with the parent disc, the fragment is referred to as a sequestration. (Fardon, Grenier, Herzog)

**Subligamentous Herniation.** If an extruded or sequestered fragment dissects cephalad or caudal to the parent disc deep to the posterior longitudinal spinal ligament it can be referred to as a subligamentous herniation. (Figure 2)

**Transligamentous and Transdural Herniations.** An extruded and sequestered herniation that extends through a defect in the posterior longitudinal spinal ligament can be called a transligamentous herniation. (Fardon, Grenier, Herzog) (Figure 3) Transligamentous herniations extending through a defect in the dural can be called transdural or intradural herniations.

---

**Figure 2:** A. Disc protrusion. B. Subligamentous disc extrusion. C. Caudally dissecting disc sequestration.
Herniation size. The maximum size in the AP direction may be reported relative to the peripheral endplate, endplate osteophyte or bulging annulus. The transverse diameter of the herniation can be measured or can be described using qualitative terms such as broad-based. The degree of cephalad or caudal dissection of extruded fragments can be measured relative to the endplate or reported relative to anatomic levels e.g. caudal extension to the level of the pedicle or to the entry zone of the caudal neural foramen.

Herniation location (Figure 2). The location of a herniation is defined as central or paracentral, posterolateral (subarticular), lateral (foraminal), and far lateral (extraforaminal). (Wiltse) Cephalad extruded posterolateral or lateral disc herniations often result in nerve root impingement within or medial to the neural foramen. Caudally extruded posterolateral or paracentral disc herniations often result in nerve root impingement within the subarticular recess, within lateral recess at the level of the caudal pedicle or at the entry zone of the caudal neural foramen. (Wiltse, Fardon) Far lateral herniations result in extraforaminal neural impingement.

ASNR Nomenclature and Classification of Lumbar Disc Pathology

Neural impingement. The presence and degree of neural impingement should be reported. Pfirrmann et al. introduced a system for reporting neural impingement secondary to disc herniations and found substantial interobserver reliability and a high correlation with surgical findings. (Pfirrmann) Disc herniations were reported as having no contact with the nerve root (Grade 0), contact with the nerve root (Grade 1), nerve root displacement (Grade 2) and nerve root compression (Grade 3).
**Prognostic significance of herniation characteristics.** The size and subtype of the herniations have prognostic significance. Extruded, transligamentous and sequestered disc herniations are exposed to epidural blood flow and have a greater tendency to resorb than do protrusions which are contained by the outer annular fibers and may have limited exposure to the epidural space. Resorption has been shown to occur through a process of peripheral neovascularization and macrophage infiltration and shows a general correlation with a favorable natural history.

Large size and high signal intensity on T2 weighted images also shows a correlation with resorption. Large high signal intensity extrusions often present with understated clinical presentations and may resorb completely within 1-3 months. If symptoms persist in a patient with a high signal intensity extrusion, repeat MRI is indicated prior to surgery to ensure that a compressive lesion persists.

**Stenosis:**

**Lumbar Central Stenosis (LSS).** Dural sac area (DSA) is the most widely accepted measure for central canal stenosis and correlates well with pain and function in many studies (NASS). The DSA has been shown to correlate with clinical symptoms and function, and is more effective in the assessment of central canal stenosis than is the AP diameter of the bony canal. (Ogikubo, Haminashi, Bolender)

When grading the severity of LSS, moderate or severe disease should indicate, to the extent possible, clinically significant disease in patients with appropriate clinical presentations. A grade of moderate or severe should have a high positive predictive value of a good or excellent result with surgical decompression at this level in a patient with neurogenic claudication (and no confounding vascular disease). Since a dural sac area (DSA) of 75mm² has been shown to result in a measured increase in pressure on the cauda equina (Schönström), this has been set as the threshold for moderate stenosis:

- Mild - DSA 75-100 mm²
- Moderate - DSA 50-75 mm²
- Severe - DSA <50 mm²

The DSA can be estimated easily with the following formula: $r_1 \times r_2 \times 3$. Ogikubo et al. showed a linear correlation of DSA with symptoms. (Ogikubo)

Shizas et al. has since introduced a more practical method for assessing central canal stenosis which relies on effacement of subarachnoid space and dorsal epidural fat: (Shizas)

- **A** - Narrowing of the dural sac with preservation of subarachnoid space.
- **B** - Narrowing with rootlets occupying the whole dural sac, CSF persists with decrease signal intensity, and nerve roots remain well defined.
- **C** - Narrowing with loss of definition of the nerve roots and the CSF showing a homogeneous gray signal. Dorsal epidural fat persists.
- **D** - Same as C with effacement of dorsal epidural fat.
Both Schizas et al. and Lonne et al. showed good interobserver correlation with this system, and Lonne et al. showed a moderate correlation with the dural sac area. Lonne et al. showed that in general, grades B-D corresponded to a DSA of \( \leq 75 \text{ mm}^2 \).

Lee et al. introduced a similar grading system however focused more on aggregation of the cauda equina than on effacement of subarachnoid space: (Lee)

- **Grade 1** - Effacement of ventral subarachnoid space, the cauda equina occupying the entire dural sac, and with good definition of the individual nerve roots.
- **Grade 2** - CSF space moderately obliterated with some aggregation of the cauda equina.
- **Grade 3** - Effacement of CSF with complete obliteration of cauda equina detail, the cauda equina appearing as a cord-like structure.

The Lee system shows excellent interobserver reliability (Lee, Park) In addition, Park et al. in a 2013 study showed a moderate correlation of the Lee system with clinical symptoms and findings on physical exam. (Park)

These two systems are very similar with Shizas Grades A-C corresponding to Lee grades 1-3. The Shizas system introduces an extreme stenosis grade based on the effacement of dorsal subarachnoid fat. The following system represents a synthesis of these two very valid grading schemes:

![Figure 4: Modified Schizas/Lee grading scheme for lumbar spinal stenosis.](image_url)
**Subarticular recess stenosis.** In academic settings, subarticular recess stenosis is frequently defined as narrowing of the AP diameter to less than 3 mm. Practically, subarticular recess stenosis is only significant if it results in nerve root impingement. Marked narrowing of the subarticular recess can be present with no neural impingement.

The position of the traversing nerve root can vary markedly with respect to the subarticular recess. With a high take-off, the nerve root at the level of the subarticular recess is extradural and is susceptible to impingement. With a low take-off, the nerve root is intradural at the level of the subarticular recess and is afforded much greater mobility.

Marked narrowing of the subarticular recess can be present with no neural impingement. Displacement of the intradural nerve root does not correlate with symptomatic subarticular recess stenosis to the extent that compression of an extradural nerve root does. Conjoined or partially conjoined nerve roots are often tightly tethered within the subarticular recess and caudal neural foramen and are very susceptible to impingement.

*If subarticular recess stenosis is present, the presence or absence of root impingement should be detailed. The severity of the stenosis itself can be graded qualitatively as mild, moderate or severe. The presence of a conjoined nerve root should always be reported.*

**Foraminal stenosis.** Grading of foraminal stenosis is relevant only to presence or absence of nerve root or ganglionic impingement. The size of a normal foramen can vary markedly between patients and marked narrowing of a developmentally large foramen is of no significance without neural impingement.

Lee et al. in 2010 introduced a grading system for foraminal stenosis which focuses on the presence or absence of perineural fat and/or neural compression. (Lee)

- **Mild**  -  Narrowing of the neural foramen with partial effacement of perineural fat on opposite borders (superior-inferior or anterior-posterior) without neural deformity or compression.

- **Moderate**  -  Narrowing of the neural foramen with complete effacement of perineural fat and no neural deformity or compression.

- **Severe**  -  Narrowing of the neural foramen with complete effacement of perineural fat and neural compression or deformity.

Park et al. in a subsequent study showed that this grading system has good interobserver agreement and good clinical correlation.

The Lee grading system has some limitations. First, it does not accommodate cases in which there is partial effacement of perineural fat and pincer-type impingement or up-down neural compression. In these cases, there may not be complete effacement of perineural fat, however, there is definite neural compression. Conversely, complete effacement of perineural fat within a narrowed neural foramen can also be secondary to partial voluming of an intraforaminal disc herniation.
The results of surgical decompression are less predictable in patients with lower grades of impingement. (Weishaupt) Nerve root contact or effacement of perineural fat on one or two borders is not typically associated with radicular symptoms and may be incidental to radicular symptomatology (e.g. radiculitis seen in diabetic patients). Bowing of the L5 nerve root, ganglion or post-ganglionic nerve on the far lateral margin of the L5-S1 disc is also seen commonly and may not be associated with symptoms. Nerve root compression shows a more significant correlation with symptoms and may predict symptom improvement with decompression. In some cases, a selective nerve root block may be necessary to assess the significance of foraminal stenosis relative to the patient’s symptoms.

*If foraminal stenosis is present, the radiologist report should focus on effacement of perineural fat, nerve root displacement and nerve root compression if present. Foraminal stenosis can be graded qualitatively as mild, moderate or severe.*

While the grading systems do show some correlation with symptoms, it is not uncommon to see severe foraminal stenosis in an asymptomatic patient. As with all other spine findings, correlation with clinical symptoms is the bedrock of clinical significance.

**Far lateral foraminal stenosis.** Far lateral foraminal stenosis can occur with foraminal stenosis or can be isolated. The far lateral foramen is an anatomic entity unique to the L5-S1 level. The far lateral foramen begins on the lateral margin of the pedicle and is delimited by the L5 transverse process superiorly and the S1 ala inferiorly.

Far lateral foraminal stenosis, far lateral disc herniations and far lateral neural impingement can be difficult to identify on MRI. This problem may be minimized with the use of new thin section 3D MRI acquisitions and angled coronal/angled axial reformations. Thin section CT with sagittal and axial oblique/coronal oblique reformations can be very helpful and can be definitive in patients in whom MRI findings are understated. Post-impingement swelling of the L5 nerve is specific but not sensitive for symptomatic far lateral foraminal neural impingement.
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